97.1

UNITED STATE DISTICT COURT -3 F11 3: 56 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA -3 F11 3: 56

MIAMI DIVISION

HENRY NARANJO and MARLENE RAMIREZ.

CASE NO. 00-6022-CIV-LENARD Magistrate Judge Turnoff

Plaintiffs.

٧.

STEPHEN BYRON SMITH, PALMER JOHNSON EXPORT SALES, INC., PALMER JOHNSON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., and PALMER JOHNSON, INC.

PALMER JOHNSON'S ANSWER TO CROSS-CLAIM OF STEPHEN BYRON SMITH

Defendants.	
	/

COMES NOW the Defendant, PALMER JOHNSON, INC., in the above-captioned action, by and through its undersigned counsel, and as for its Answer to the Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

- 1. As to Paragraphs 1 and 2 of said Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, this answering Defendant admits the allegations contained in said Paragraphs.
- 2. As to Paragraph 3 of said Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, this answering Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said Paragraph and does, therefore, deny said allegation and puts Stephen Byron Smith to his proof.



Page 2 of 3

- As to Paragraph 4 of said Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, this answering 3. Defendant admits that it constructed said vessel but denies that it designed, modified or sold said vessel.
- 4. As to Paragraph 5 of said Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, this answering Defendant denies that Stephen Byron Smith will be entitled to indemnification from Palmer Johnson, Inc. in the manner alleged in said Paragraph or in any other manner whatsoever.
- 5. As to Paragraph 6 of said Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith, this answering Defendant denies that Stephen Byron Smith will be entitled to contribution from Palmer Johnson, Inc. in the manner alleged in said Paragraph or in any other matter whatsoever.

WHEREFORE, this answering Defendant, Palmer Johnson, Inc. respectfully demands judgment as follows:

- (a) Dismissing the Cross-Claim of Stephen Byron Smith on its merits as to this answering Defendant;
- (b) For the costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this action; and
- (c) For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S Mail on the day of October, 2000 to: Manuel Valdes, Esq., Law Offices of John W. Burke, P.A., 782 NW 42nd Ave., Suite 330, Miami, FL 33126; F. David Famulari, Esq., Underwood, Karcher & Karcher, P.A., 2900 SW 28th Terrace, 6th Floor, Miami, FL 33133; and

CASE NO. 99-3373-CIV-DAVIS

John D. Kallen, Esq., Badiak, Will & Kallen, 17071 W. Dixie Hwy., N. Miami Beach, FL 33160.

PINKERT LAW FIRM LLP Co-Counsel for Defendant Palmer Johnson P. O. Box 89 Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235-0089 Telephone: (920) 743-6505

VALLE & CRAIG, P.A. Attorneys for Defendant Palmer Johnson 80 SW 8th Street, Suite 2520 Miami, FL 33130

Telephone: (305) 373-2888 Facsimile: (305) 373-2889 valle@vallecraig.com

FRANK J. SIOLI Fla. Bar No. 9652